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Abstract

Background: Chromium is an essential trace element and nutritional supplement that has garnered interest for use as a weight loss aid.

Objective: This trial assesses the effects of chromium picolinate supplementation, alone and combined with nutritional education, on weight loss in apparently healthy overweight adults.

Design: This was a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial of 80 otherwise healthy, overweight adults assessed at baseline for central adiposity measured by computerized tomography. Subjects were randomly assigned to daily ingestion of 1000 μg of chromium picolinate or placebo for 24 weeks. All subjects received passive nutritional education at the 12-week point in both the intervention and control groups. Outcomes include weight, height, blood pressure, percent body fat, serum, and urinary biomarkers.

Results: At baseline, both the chromium and placebo groups had similar mean body mass index (BMI) (chromium = 36 ± 6.7 kg/m² versus placebo = 36.1 ± 7.6 kg/m²; p = 0.98). After 12 weeks, no change was seen in BMI in the intervention as compared to placebo (chromium = 0.3 ± 0.8 kg/m² versus placebo = 0.0 ± 0.4 kg/m²; p = 0.07). No change was seen in BMI after 24 weeks in the intervention as compared to placebo (chromium = 0.1 ± 0.2 kg/m² versus placebo = 0.0 ± 0.5 kg/m²; p = 0.81). Variation in central adiposity did not affect any outcome measures.

Conclusions: Supplementation of 1000 μg of chromium picolinate alone, and in combination with nutritional education, did not affect weight loss in this population of overweight adults. Response to chromium did not vary with central adiposity.

Introduction

Over 65% of adults in the United States are overweight or obese, defined as a body mass index (BMI) at or above 25 or 30 kg/m², respectively.1-3 The health consequences of obesity are well characterized.4 A strong relationship exists between BMI and all-cause mortality; obesity contributes substantially to cardiovascular risk,7,8 and excess body weight is a potent risk factor for most cancers.10,11 Considering the health consequences of obesity, there is a growing need for safe and effective aids to weight loss.

The Nutrition Business Journal reported that supplement sales grew from $8.6 to $23.7 billion between 1994 and 2007.12 Sports nutrition and weight loss supplements accounted for approximately 27% of total sales.13-15 Despite the growing consumer market for use of dietary supplements, efficacy in weight loss remains unsubstantiated. A 2004 systematic review concluded that the evidence for most dietary supplements as aids in reducing body weight is inconclusive.16 A notable exception is ephedra, found to be an effective weight loss aid,17 though banned from the market by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration in 2004 due to safety concerns.12

Chromium is an essential trace element and nutritional supplement that has garnered interest for use as a weight loss aid.18 Purported benefits of supplementation include increased lean body mass, decreased body fat, and greater resting energy expenditure.19

Chromium has been thought to be the active ingredient in glucose tolerance factor, a complex of molecules that includes glycine, cysteine, glutamic acid, nicotinic acid, and chromium.20 This complex of molecules found in high amounts in brewer’s yeast and other foods functions synergistically to potentiate the effects of insulin21-23 by increasing insulin binding to cells, upregulating receptors, and improving affinity.24 Some reports suggest that chromium could...
suppress appetite and stimulate thermogenesis through sensitization of insulin-sensitive glucoreceptors in the brain.\textsuperscript{25} Body fat distribution is related to insulin sensitivity; peripheral fat is more insulin sensitive than central fat found in the chest and abdomen.\textsuperscript{26} A meta-analysis of 10 double-blind, placebo-controlled trials provides evidence of a relatively small reduction in body weight (1.1–1.2 kg over 10–13 weeks) in overweight and obese individuals receiving chromium picolinate.\textsuperscript{27}

This trial was designed to assess the effects of chromium picolinate supplementation alone and combined with a nutrition education intervention on weight loss in both men and women, and to assess any effects attributable to anthropometry (body fat distribution).

**Methods**

**Participants**

A total of 80 adults (40 female and 40 male) were recruited from the Lower Naugatuck Valley, CT, through newspaper advertisements and posters in medical offices affiliated with Griffin Hospital (Fig. 1). All participants were overweight (body-mass index [BMI] > 25 kg/m\(^2\)) nonsmoking adults ages 25–75 with abdominal adiposity (waist circumference > 80 cm in females and >100 cm in males).\textsuperscript{28} Exclusion criteria included contraindication to abdominal computed tomography (CT) scans (weight > 375 pounds, claustrophobia, unstable vital signs, or radiation procedure in past 6 months), diagnosed diabetes, diagnosed eating disorder, uncontrolled hypertension, emphysema, intestinal or stomach disease, kidney disease (serum creatinine > 2), substance abuse, pregnancy, or intention to become pregnant during the study.

Those meeting initial prescreening criteria (\(n = 156\)) underwent clinical screening examination consisting of height, weight, BMI, blood pressure, and waist–hip measurements and blood profiles inclusive of lipid panel (total cholesterol, high-density lipoprotein [HDL], low-density lipoprotein [LDL] and triglycerides), comprehensive metabolic panel, fasting plasma glucose, fasting insulin, C-reactive protein, and lipoprotein-associated phospholipase A\textsubscript{2} (Lp-PLA\textsubscript{2}). In addition, percent body fat was recorded via bioelectrical impedance using the Bio Analogics ELGII Health Management System (HMS; www.bioanalogics.com). A urine pregnancy test for human chorionic gonadotropin was performed on female patients to ascertain nonpregnant status at baseline.

The study protocol and consent form were approved by the Griffin Hospital (Derby, CT) Institutional Review Board and the Yale University (New Haven, CT) Human Investigation Committee. Written informed consent was obtained, and all subjects received $150 for their participation. Subjects signed a written study commitment agreement explaining the number of visits, outcome measures, and focus on weight, percent body fat, and cardiac risk measures.

**Interventions**

Subjects were randomized to daily ingestion of 1000 \(\mu\)g of chromium picolinate or placebo (1630 mg of dicalcium phosphate). The 1000 \(\mu\)g dose was chosen because it has been shown to be safe and effective in modifying blood sugar and insulin levels\textsuperscript{29,29} and used in other clinical trials.\textsuperscript{29} Subjects randomized to chromium picolinate were instructed to ingest a 500-\(\mu\)g capsule twice per day during the intervention period for a total ingestion of 1000 \(\mu\)g per day for 6 months. Those randomized to placebo were instructed to ingest an 815-\(\mu\)g capsule twice per day during the intervention period for a total ingestion of 1630 mg of dicalcium phosphate. Subjects were instructed to consume these capsules with water with morning and evening meals and to continue with their usual dietary patterns and physical activity routines for the first 12 weeks of intervention.

A low-intensity nutrition education and weight loss program commenced at 12 weeks up to the 24-week point in both the intervention and control groups. This lifestyle intervention was reflective of the fact that in any real-world setting, a patient interested in weight loss would be unlikely to rely solely on a chromium supplement. In all probability, some effort at “dieting” would accompany use of the supplement. The program consisted of free access to a weight loss website (www.thewaytoeat.net) and a copy of a book on nutrition and weight management.\textsuperscript{31} The nutrition education intervention was implemented at week 12 in order to assess any differential effects between chromium alone or in conjunction with the nutrition education intervention, and to standardize the weight loss efforts of study participants. It was meant to substitute for independent weight loss efforts by the participants, and/or the basic weight loss advice patients would be likely to receive from a primary care provider.

**Objectives**

This trial assessed the effects of chromium picolinate supplementation, alone and combined with nutritional education on weight loss in apparently healthy overweight adults.

**Outcomes**

Weight, height, and blood pressure were measured at each visit. Prior to each assessment, subjects fasted for 8 hours for serum and bioimpedance measures. Body weight was measured to the nearest 0.5 pound using a balance-type medical scale. Height was measured in inches with instructions for the subject to stand on the middle of the scale with back against the measuring bar standing straight, without shoes, heels together. Two (2) readings of blood pressure were taken in a seated position 10 minutes apart using an electronic sphygmomanometer. Other outcome measures included waist–hip ratio, percent body fat, central adiposity, serology, and urine chromium.

Waist circumference was measured around the narrowest point between ribs and hips when viewed from the front after exhaling. Hip circumference was measured at the point where the buttocks extended the maximum, when viewed from the side. Recordings were made for each site to the nearest 1 cm using a cloth tape without compression of skin.\textsuperscript{32,33}

Percent body fat was recorded via bioelectrical impedance. The imperceptible electrical current was passed through electrodes in the subject’s foot and hand to compute body density and body-fat percentage. The primary outcome measure was to demonstrate a decrease in body fat from baseline in adults with BMI ≥ 25, due to sustained ingestion of chromium picolinate. Resistance and reactance were measured with the Bio Analogic ELG II and the percent body...
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FIG. 1. Study flow diagram. CT, computed tomography.

Fat was determined with the use of Health Management System software (www.bioanalogics.com).

For bioelectrical impedance scans, subjects were instructed to fast and refrain from exercise 8 hours before the scan. Additional instructions included removing metallic jewelry and maintaining adequate hydration the day before the scan.
Central adiposity was measured at baseline to determine the area of subcutaneous versus visceral adipose tissue. Central adiposity was measured on a 16-slice helical G scanner at Griffin Hospital, using standard procedures. Subjects lay supine with their arms over their heads. A CT scan was performed at the abdominal level (between L4 and L5 vertebrae), using a radiograph of the skeleton as a reference to establish the position of the scan to the nearest millimeter. Total abdominal adipose tissue area was calculated by subtracting the visceral adipose tissue area from the total abdominal adipose tissue area. The calculations for subcutaneous and visceral adipose tissue were performed at Hôpital Laval Research Center, Québec, Canada.

At each visit, anthropometric measures, blood pressure, serology, and urine chromium were collected at Griffin Hospital. Lp-PLA$_2$ and CRP analysis were performed at diaDexus, Inc. (www.diadexus.com). Liver and kidney function were monitored throughout the study by serum measurements of transaminases, blood urea nitrogen, and creatinine.

Subjects also provided a urine specimen for analysis of chromium output to corroborate self-report of regular use of treatment assignment. Urine chromium was collected at Griffin Hospital and was analyzed by Quest Laboratories.

Statistical methods
Repeated-measures analysis of variance was used to determine change in percent body weight, BMI, and serology after intervention between the two treatment groups. Paired $t$ tests were also used to evaluate the change from baseline (pretreatment) in percent body fat, weight, BMI, and serology following each treatment. The combined effects of independent variables (abdominal fat distribution and demographics) and treatment assignment on these outcomes were assessed with multivariable models using analysis of covariance.

Analysis was performed using the SAS for Windows version 9.1 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC) software. In all analyses, a two-tailed $z$ of less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant. Results are expressed as means $\pm$ standard deviation (SD) in text and tables.

Results
Participant flow
The two treatment arms were comparable ($p > 0.05$) at baseline (Table 1) for all the outcome measures (i.e., anthropometric measures, blood pressure, serology, and urine chromium). The study participants in both treatment groups were overweight or obese at baseline (intervention group mean BMI = 36.6 kg/m$^2$; control group BMI = 36.1 kg/m$^2$).

Subjects randomized to chromium picolinate had comparable urinary chromium to subjects receiving placebo ($p = 0.33$). Of the subjects completing the trial ($n = 58$), 44 subjects (76%) had pill counts reflecting greater than 80% adherence.

Adverse effects
One subject in the chromium picolinate group experienced urticaria 35 days after initiating daily supplement intake. He was instructed to immediately cease taking the supplement, and the urticaria resolved within 4 days.

After 12 weeks (chromium alone) (Table 2)

Anthropometric measures. After intervention for 12 weeks, there was no change in BMI in the chromium group as compared to the placebo group (chromium $= 0.3 \pm 0.8$ kg/m$^2$ versus placebo $= 0.0 \pm 0.4$ kg/m$^2$; $p = 0.07$). Similarly, there was no change in percent body fat as compared to placebo (chromium $= 0.3 \pm 1.2$ versus placebo $= -0.8 \pm 3.8$; $p = 0.11$).

Serology. No change was seen in fasting plasma glucose (FPG) and fasting serum insulin (FSI) levels from baseline (FPG: chromium $= 0.0 \pm 3.1$ mg/dL versus placebo $= 1.2 \pm 4.3$ mg/dL; $p = 0.15$; insulin: chromium $= 0.8 \pm 1.9$ $\mu$L/mL versus placebo $= 0.5 \pm 1.6$ $\mu$L/mL; $p = 0.50$). Lp-PLA$_2$ and cell adhesion molecules (CAM) decreased nonsignificantly in the chromium group, as compared to placebo (Lp-PLA$_2$: chromium $= -3.8 \pm 44.7$ ng/mL versus placebo $= 6.3 \pm 44.3$ ng/mL; $p = 0.36$; CAM: chromium $= -1.4 \pm 18.1$ nmol/min/mL versus placebo $= -0.5 \pm 19.8$ nmol/min/mL; $p = 0.86$). CRP increased nonsignificantly in the chromium group, as compared to placebo (chromium $= -36.4 \pm 341.0$ mg/dL versus placebo $= 15.7 \pm 202.2$ mg/dL; $p = 0.78$).
The total cholesterol/HDL ratio did not change in the chromium group as compared to the placebo group (chromium = 0.1 ± 0.2 kg/m² versus placebo = 0.0 ± 0.5 kg/m²; \( p = 0.81 \)). Similarly, no improvement was observed in percent body fat as compared to placebo (chromium = 0.2 ± 1.0 versus placebo = −0.9 ± 3.8; \( p = 0.13 \)).

**After 24 weeks (chromium in the context of lifestyle intervention)**

**Anthropometric measures.** After intervention for 24 weeks, there was no change in BMI in the chromium group as compared to the placebo group (FPG: chromium = 1.0 ± 0.81).
Table 2. Change in Outcome Measures from Baseline Values

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcome measures</th>
<th>12 weeks</th>
<th>24 weeks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Chromium picolinate (n = 35)</td>
<td>Placebo (n = 32)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anthropometric measures</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>0.3 ± 0.8</td>
<td>0.0 ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waist-hip ratio</td>
<td>-0.0 ± 0.0</td>
<td>0.0 ± 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total body fat (%)</td>
<td>0.3 ± 1.2</td>
<td>-0.8 ± 3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood pressure</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic (mm Hg)</td>
<td>1.3 ± 8.3</td>
<td>-0.5 ± 6.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diastolic (mm Hg)</td>
<td>0.5 ± 6.9</td>
<td>-0.4 ± 5.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum measures</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fasting plasma glucose (mg/dL)</td>
<td>0.0 ± 3.1</td>
<td>1.2 ± 4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fasting serum insulin (µU/mL)</td>
<td>0.8 ± 1.9</td>
<td>0.5 ± 1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lp-PLA2 (PLAC test) (ng/mL)</td>
<td>-3.8 ± 44.7</td>
<td>6.3 ± 44.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total cholesterol (mg/dL)</td>
<td>1.6 ± 10.7</td>
<td>3.4 ± 14.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL (mg/dL)</td>
<td>0.3 ± 2.8</td>
<td>0.4 ± 3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL (mg/dL)</td>
<td>1.5 ± 10.6</td>
<td>1.3 ± 12.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cholesterol/HDL ratio</td>
<td>0.0 ± 0.3</td>
<td>0.0 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basic metabolic panel</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BUN (mg/dL)</td>
<td>0.2 ± 1.6</td>
<td>0.4 ± 1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creatinine (mg/dL)</td>
<td>0.0 ± 0.0</td>
<td>0.0 ± 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium (mEq/L)</td>
<td>-0.1 ± 1.0</td>
<td>0.1 ± 0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potassium (mEq/L)</td>
<td>0.0 ± 0.2</td>
<td>-0.1 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chloride (mEq/L)</td>
<td>0.0 ± 0.7</td>
<td>0.0 ± 1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO₂ (mEq/L)</td>
<td>0.6 ± 1.1</td>
<td>0.3 ± 0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcium (mg/dL)</td>
<td>-0.0 ± 0.2</td>
<td>-0.0 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anion gap</td>
<td>-0.7 ± 1.1</td>
<td>-0.2 ± 0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BUN/creatinine ratio</td>
<td>0.0 ± 1.9</td>
<td>0.2 ± 2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total protein (g/dL)</td>
<td>-0.5 ± 1.1</td>
<td>-0.1 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Albumin (g/dL)</td>
<td>0.0 ± 0.2</td>
<td>0.1 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AST (IU/L)</td>
<td>0.8 ± 2.6</td>
<td>-0.1 ± 1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALT (IU/L)</td>
<td>1.2 ± 4.2</td>
<td>0.4 ± 2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alkaline phosphate (IU/L)</td>
<td>1.4 ± 4.7</td>
<td>0.9 ± 3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total bilirubin (mg/dL)</td>
<td>0.0 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.0 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Globulin (g/dL)</td>
<td>-0.1 ± 0.2</td>
<td>-0.1 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Albumin/globulin ratio</td>
<td>0.1 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.1 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urinary analysis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chromium/creatinine ratio</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urine chromium (ng/mL)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urine creatinine (mg/dL)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BMI, body–mass index; Lp-PLA2, lipoprotein-associated phospholipase A2; CRP, C-reactive protein; HDL, high-density lipoprotein; LDL, low-density lipoprotein; BUN, blood urea nitrogen; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; ALT, alanine aminotransferase.

Analysis using multivariable models controlling for visceral fat distribution and demographics did not significantly alter results.

Discussion

In this study of 80 overweight or obese adult men and women with elevated waist circumference, chromium supplementation did not improve weight, blood glucose, percent body fat, or lipid measures. To our knowledge, this is the first study to examine the effects of the ingestion of 1000 µg of chromium picolinate combined with a nutrition education intervention on weight loss.

Previous studies suggest that the primary factor for a clinical response to chromium is insulin resistance.²⁵–³⁰
subjects who have type 2 diabetes and who use sulfonylurea agents, Martin demonstrated that chromium picolinate improves insulin sensitivity, glucose control, and attenuates body weight and visceral fat compared with placebo. Baseline insulin sensitivity was found to account for nearly 40% of the variance in the clinical response to chromium. In contrast, in this study, the baseline FPG levels in both the chromium and placebo groups were normal (Table 1). Our results are consistent with a meta-analysis finding no association between chromium and glucose or insulin concentrations among nondiabetic subjects. A 2007 trial using a lower dose of chromium picolinate (200 μg) in nondiabetic women demonstrated no effect on body weight, composition, or iron status. In 1995, a study conducted using 400 μg of chromium picolinate had no effects in reducing body fat percentage. It is unknown whether chromium supplementation modifies energy intake or expenditure.

Other studies have shown modest weight loss with chromium supplementation. A meta-analysis conducted on 10 randomized controlled trials (RCTs) showed that the observed effect with chromium picolinate was a small reduction of 1.1–1.2 kg (0.08–0.2 kg/week) compared with placebo in overweight and obese subjects. An RCT in 42 overweight women receiving 1000 μg of chromium picolinate demonstrated 0.5 kg weight loss over 8 weeks, while subjects receiving placebo gained 0.5 kg during the same time period, although the difference was not statistically significant.

In a small randomized trial, Cefalu et al. found an increase in insulin sensitivity in insulin-resistant individuals when supplemented with 1000 μg of chromium picolinate. These results, however, have not been replicated. As exercise-related weight loss is associated with increased insulin sensitivity, it is plausible that chromium supplementation can aid in this process. Our trial, however, did not assess insulin sensitivity. In a recent trial of 60 obese subjects, Iqbal et al. found that 500 μg of chromium picolinate did not improve insulin sensitivity. A statistically significant increase in acute insulin response to glucose was found, though no effects were seen on other measures of glucose metabolism, lipids, body weight, and inflammatory markers. High-intensity aerobic exercise is known to increase insulin sensitivity; the combination with chromium may confer synergistic benefits. This may be the mechanism in Kaats’ finding of statistically significant reductions in weight, body fat, and fat mass in a randomized trial of 130 subjects recruited from fitness and athletic clubs. All subjects (chromium and placebo arms) lost weight during the intervention, though the subjects in the chromium groups demonstrated greater weight loss and improvement in body composition than those on placebo.

The nutrition education intervention incorporated after 12 weeks did not demonstrate a significant effect on any outcome measure. This may be due to the passive nature of the intervention without rigorous follow-up and caloric assessments. This approach was intentionally designed to mimic real-world scenarios where patients interested in weight loss would be likely to combine use of any supplement with a lifestyle change. In general, various approaches to “dieting” have been demonstrated to work in the short term, while very few, if any, demonstrate efficacy in the long term.

Conclusions

In conclusion, chromium picolinate did not affect weight loss in the apparently healthy overweight adults enrolled in this trial. Variable efficacy of chromium was not seen when variation in baseline levels of abdominal adiposity. Our findings as consistent with other recent studies examining the relationship between chromium supplementation and weight loss, and reduce enthusiasm for the use of chromium as a nutritional supplement for controlling weight. Benefit of chromium supplementation in subgroups of overweight patients, such as those with demonstrable insulin resistance and those on intense exercise regimens, remains a possibility warranting further research.
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