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ABSTRACT

Objectives: to investigate if a complementary therapy, Reiki, has any effect on indices of autonomic nervous system function.

Design: Blind trial.

Setting/Location: Quiet room in an out-patient clinic.

Subjects: Forty-five (45) subjects assigned at random into three groups.

Interventions: Three treatment conditions: no treatment (rest only); Reiki treatment by experienced Reiki practitioner; and placebo treatment by a person with no knowledge of Reiki and who mimicked the Reiki treatment.

Outcome measures: Quantitative measures of autonomic nervous system function such as heart rate, cardiac vagal tone, blood pressure, cardiac sensitivity to baroreflex, and breathing activity were recorded continuously for each heartbeat. Values during and after the treatment period were compared with baseline data.

Results: Heart rate and diastolic blood pressure decreased significantly in the Reiki group compared to both placebo and control groups.

Conclusions: The study indicates that Reiki has some effect on the autonomic nervous system. However, this was a pilot study with relatively few subjects and the changes were relatively small. The results justify further, larger studies to look at the biological effects of Reiki treatment.

INTRODUCTION

There has been an increasing interest in complementary and alternative medicines (CAM) from the general public, the medical profession, and the media. The use of CAM has become more popular, particularly in situations where it is applied to complement existing conventional treatments (Boon et al., 2000; Kemper et al., 1999; Lin et al., 2001).

Reiki is a complementary therapy which purports to help replenish and re-balance the body’s natural energetic system, thus stimulating the natural healing process. Reiki therapy is administered by a trained practitioner who channels this “energy” through his or her hands into the body of the patient, by placing their hands either on or above the patient.

There have been several attempts to study the mechanism of effect in touch therapies such as Reiki. However, most reports have been anecdotal and few studies have used a rigorous scientific approach with the measurement of biological outcomes. Previous studies show an apparent link between Reiki treatment and the autonomic nervous system (ANS). One of the most commonly reported effects of Reiki is that of relaxation or a reduction in stress. The ANS is the motor system for emotion; if Reiki were to ameliorate stress it would therefore also have some effect on the ANS. Ramnarine-Singh (1999) stated that the physiologic system sensitive to energy-based therapies is the ANS as it affects the body’s physiological response to stress, and suggested that physiologically Reiki and Therapeutic Touch (TT)—a therapy similar to Reiki—can be measured by recording blood
pressure, pulse, respiratory rate, electroencephalography, electrooculography, galvanic skin response, and hand temperature. Wardell and Engebretson (2001) measured the biological effects of Reiki on the ANS and found significant reduction of anxiety and systolic blood pressure, and a significant increase in salivary immunoglobulin A (IgA) levels, using healthy volunteers for their study. Anxiety was assessed through muscle tension measurement using electromyography as well as monitoring of salivary IgA levels. Vaughan (1995) also investigated the ANS looking at systolic and diastolic blood pressure, heart rate, and skin response, and found a definite trend towards the lowering of diastolic blood pressure.

Turner et al. (1998) investigated the use of TT for reducing anxiety levels in burn patients, finding a significant reduction in the TT group as opposed to a placebo group. Evanoff and Newton (1999) found that energy-based therapies significantly reduced pain in a randomized control trial of patients with osteoarthritis of the knee. TT/Reiki has also been investigated within the field of cutaneous wound healing. Ramnarine-Singh (1999) highlights the apparent link between energy-based therapies and the ANS, stating that the previous “psychological” research is difficult to interpret because of the subjectivity involved. It calls for new studies measuring physiological responses within the ANS. Work by Quinn (1984) and Vaughan (1995) support this hypothesis. The novel aspect of this study is the real-time measurement of brainstem autonomic function by monitoring cardiovascular regulation carried out by the medullary nuclei.

MATERIALS and METHODS

Hypothesis

The study was designed as a preliminary investigation into the effects of Reiki treatment on autonomic function, using parameters for which there are reliable, quantitative measures such as heart rate (HR), cardiac vagal tone (CVT), blood pressure (BP), cardiac sensitivity to baroreflex (CSB), and breathing activity. These parameters are controlled by the cardiovascular and respiratory centers in the brainstem but are modulated by higher functions of the central nervous system (CNS) (Martini, 2001). HR and diastolic BP (DBP) are reported to be reduced during therapeutic touch (TT) (Quinn, 1984; Vaughan, 1995).

We tested the hypothesis that there are differences in the response in one or more parameter of the ANS to Reiki treatment compared with placebo treatment, against the null hypothesis that there will be no difference in any parameter.

Subjects

The study had been approved by the local ethics committee (EC/02/S/57) and was a blind trial with subjects assigned at random to three groups. Forty-five (45) healthy volunteers were recruited from colleagues and associates, 15 subjects assigned to each group. Exclusion criteria were a history of diabetes, epilepsy or other neurological disorders (including autonomic problems), and heart disease. There were 8 females and 7 males in each group, ranging in age from 23–59 years. It was assumed that the autonomic indices used in this study had stabilized at their resting level 15 minutes after the subject had been placed in a supine position, and the purpose of the control group was to ensure that this was the case.

The Reiki group received rest and Reiki treatment, while the placebo received rest and placebo treatment. The control group received rest only.

Instrumentation

We recorded HR; systolic, diastolic, and mean BPs; CVT; CSB; and respiration rate. The NeuroScope™ system (Medi-Fit Diagnostics Ltd., London, UK) recorded all parameters continuously and displayed them in real time for quality control during data collection.

The arterial BP waveform was obtained continuously from the right radial artery at the wrist by tonometry using a Colin Monitor model BP-508 (Colin Medical Instrument Corp., San Antonio, Texas). The right arm was supported at heart level by an armrest and a cuff for oscillometric BP measurement required by the Colin Monitor to calibrate the tonometry measurement was placed on the right upper arm. The BP waveform from the Colin monitor was analysed by the NeuroScope system to give beat-by-beat systolic (SBP), mean arterial (MBP), and diastolic (DBP) BP.

The electrocardiogram (ECG) from the patient was amplified by the Colin Monitor and fed into the NeuroScope system for identification of consecutive ECG R-waves in order to enable recording of beat-by-beat R-R intervals and heart rate.

The non-invasive index of cardiac parasympathetic activity or CVT was derived on a continuous beat-to-beat basis using the NeuroScope system as described elsewhere (Little et al., 1999). Briefly, the index is defined as “pulse-synchronised phase shifts in consecutive cardiac cycles” and is measured in arbitrary units of a linear vagal scale (LVS) with zero equivalent to full atropinization of human subjects (Julu, 1992).

The non-invasive index of CSB is defined as the increase in pulse interval per unit increase in systolic pressure. The CSB was derived by the NeuroScope system from beat-by-beat R-R intervals and SBP as described elsewhere (Julu et al., 1996; Julu et al., 2001).

Respiration was monitored using a stretch-sensitive resistance plethysmograph, which was placed at xiphisternal level. The amplitude of breathing movement was recorded in arbitrary units and the respiration rate derived during analysis.
**Study protocol**

The Reiki treatment consisted of the placement of the practitioner’s hands over the subject’s body, over clothing but not touching, over a thirty-minute period. The placebo treatment (Mansour et al., 1999) was carried out by a person with no knowledge of Reiki and who mimicked the hand positions of the Reiki practitioner.

Baseline data were recorded during a rest period for 15 minutes. There then followed a 30-minute treatment period (Reiki or placebo), followed by another 10-minute rest period. The control group received rest during the “treatment” period while recording of data continued. Data were recorded continuously with the Colin monitor calibrated at the start and end of data collection.

**Analysis of data**

Detailed analysis was carried out after the recording session had ended. The data were exported from the NeuroScope system in eight data sets, made up of the mean values of all recorded parameters over a five-minute period.

Differences in base-line values between groups were checked by one-way ANOVA with each parameter as the response and the subject group as the factor. A one-way ANOVA with change in each parameter as the response and the data set as the factor was used to analyze the results from each subject group separately. However, the variation due to patient was large, so to account for this variation, a two-way ANOVA was employed using change in each parameter as the response, and data set and patient as the factors. P-values were obtained. The level of significance chosen for this investigation was <0.05. Balanced ANOVA (BalANOVA) was used to compare the effects of Reiki and placebo and P-values obtained. Minitab for Windows, release 13 was used for all statistical analysis.

**RESULTS**

Table 1 shows mean ± SEM and range of all indices measured for each subject group. A one-way ANOVA showed no significant differences between groups except for HR (P = 0.006). The HR for the Reiki group was lower than in the other groups, corresponding with a higher CVT although this was not significant (P = 0.066).

The percentage changes from baseline for the Reiki, placebo, and control groups are illustrated in Fig. 1. The baseline values were compared with the mean of the value from six Reiki positions for each patient. The SEM illustrated on the figure was obtained from the residual variance from the ANOVA and indicates within-treatment and random errors only; the error due to patient variation has been removed. The statistical significances for the three groups are given in Table 2.

**FIG. 1.** Percentage change from baseline. This figure illustrates the percentage changes from the baseline values for the Reiki, placebo, and control groups. The error bars show the standard error of the mean.
The Reiki and placebo groups both had significant changes from baseline in a number of parameters: reduction in heart rate, increase in CVT, increase in CSB, and reduction in respiration rate. The increase in CVT signifies an increase in parasympathetic activity and is reflected by the decrease in HR. The BP response in the Reiki group showed an average decrease of approximately 5 mm Hg. The reductions were significant for DBP and MBP, but not for SBP. In contrast, the BP in the placebo group showed a slight but not significant increase. Only the DBP response was significantly different between Reiki and placebo (P < 0.005: BalANOVA). HR changes also showed a significant difference between Reiki and placebo (P < 0.005: BalANOVA). There were no significant changes in any parameter of the control group measurements.

**DISCUSSION**

The statistically significant changes can be divided into those that are common to the Reiki and placebo groups and to one where the Reiki and placebo groups differ.

In both Reiki and placebo groups, the HR decreased while CVT and CSB increased, indicating increased parasympathetic activity. HR is determined by the equilibrium of the influences of the sympathetic and parasympathetic input to the heart. The reduction in HR could be linked with the increase in CVT, but reduced sympathetic activity to the heart would also slow the heart. Since we have no direct measurement of the cardiac sympathetic activity, it is not possible to proportion the influence from the two systems. The increase in CSB indicates an increase in negative feedback of the BP modulated by higher centers (Spyer, 1990).

The rate of breathing was reduced in both groups, showing a change in the degree of modulation of the respiratory centers in the brainstem, probably from higher centers (Orem, 1990). No changes were found in the control group after the baseline period, indicating that the autonomic activity stabilized during the initial rest period. It is therefore unlikely that the significant changes in both placebo and Reiki groups are due to simply lying down and resting. It is possibly a psychological effect of having a “carer” in the room.

Both DBP and MBP showed significant reduction in the Reiki group while there were no changes in either the placebo or control groups. Furthermore, the changes in DBP for the Reiki group compared to the change for the placebo group was the only significant difference found. The DBP is a reliable index of peripheral vascular resistance during isometric exercise (Halliwill et al., 1996). We infer that peripheral vascular resistance is reduced in the Reiki group, probably caused by reduced sympathetic outflow to the resistance blood vessels.

Is the change in BP a genuine finding or could it be an artifact in the measurements? The beat-by-beat BP is obtained using arterial tonometry, calibrated by oscillometric measurement of DBP and SBP. There are serious flaws in this method of calibration. The BP from a normal person, even from one who is supine and resting, shows considerable short-term perturbations. The SBP can vary by 20 mm Hg or more over a few heartbeats. The SBP obtained by the oscillometric method can therefore show similar variations, with DBP affected in proportion. The tonometer showed some drift, particularly during the first 10 minutes after application of the transducer before fluid is dispersed from the underlying tissues due to the applied pressure. Calibration was carried out several times during this period but then turned off. While it is to be expected that the actual BP values may show relatively large errors, the changes in BP should show much smaller errors. However, it was occasionally necessary to recalibrate during the recording due to subject movement disturbing the placement of the transducer. The effect of this was investigated further by randomly selecting 3 subjects from each group and comparing the BP trends just before and just after calibration. There was no obvious pattern, and the changes in BP appeared random. It is therefore not likely that an error of BP measurement can explain the significant drop of DBP in the Reiki group.

**CONCLUSION**

There appear to be significant differences between the Reiki and placebo/control groups, indicating that Reiki has some effect on the ANS. The change is small in relation to

---

**Table 2. P-values from ANOVA**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>HR</th>
<th>CVT</th>
<th>SBP</th>
<th>DBP</th>
<th>MBP</th>
<th>CSB</th>
<th>BrR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reiki change from baseline</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Placebo change from baseline</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Controls change from baseline</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reiki vs. placebo</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Calculated from two way ANOVA.

Calculated from the balanced ANOVA analysis.

The level of significance used is P < 0.05.

HR, heart rate; CVT, cardiac vagal tone; SBP, systolic blood pressure; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; MBP, mean blood pressure; CSB, cardiac sensitivity to baroreflex, BrR, breathing rate.
the short-term variation within each individual, but similar findings have been published by Vaughan (1995) and Quinn (1984). It is also noteworthy that a number of physiologic parameters changed in the placebo group in a manner similar to the Reiki group but that no such changes were seen in the control group.

However, this was a pilot study with relatively few subjects and the conclusion of an apparently significant difference between the Reiki and placebo groups is moderated by an uncertainty in the integrity of the tonometric BP measurement system. The results do justify a further, larger study to look at the biological effects of Reiki. Recommendations for such a study would include a change in the beat-by-beat non-invasive BP measurement system to one that is not reliant on oscillometric calibration. It would also be important to measure peripheral vascular resistance directly.
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